

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/855,585	05/16/2001	Henry V. Izzo	2280.2720	1418
5514	7590 07/12/2005		EXAM	INER
	CK CELLA HARPER &	JANVIER	JANVIER, JEAN D	
	ELLER PLAZA , NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	•	3622	
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/855,585	IZZO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jean Janvier	3622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a I - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS t tute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.		-			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	*			
Application Papers		A .			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	•	ne Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119	9(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gir priority and or or or or or or				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•				
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not rece	eived.			
		• •			
Attachment(s)		×			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform	al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2			

Art Unit: 3622

Response To Applicant's Amendments

The amendment made to claim 13 does not overcome the 101 Rejection since the claim is still directed to a computer code or computer program or Software per se, which is not statutory. In lines 4-10, the claim recites ", the computer code comprising: code for enabling...; code for validating...; and code for determining...." The Applicant should follow the example given in the 101 Section to amend the claim if he intends to claim a computer-readable medium or a computer program product storing computer codes used to perform the method steps. Thus, the 101 Rejection of claim 13 is still maintained.

Here, the Applicant can amend claim 13 accordingly to overcome the 101 Rejection and the claimed invention in general in response to address certain informalities, as shown in the Claim Objections section, and submit a request for reconsideration under 1.116 and the Examiner will enter the amendment provided that no other changes are made.

Response To Applicant's Arguments

First, Applicant argues that Walker does teach providing a product, the label of which bears an ID code, enabling the consumer to input the ID code and determining whether the consumer is entitled to receive a prize on the basis of the ID code. Applicant further argues that it would not be possible to use a conventional UPC code, as taught by Walker, as an ID code since a UPC code identifies a product and since the same UPC code is typically used across multiple units of the same product. The Examiner completely and respectfully disagrees with the Applicant's findings. Indeed, Walker discloses a method of and a system for providing a product

Art Unit: 3622

to a user or consumer during an online (Internet) or offline transaction, wherein a selection of a (promotional) product, having a specific identification code or UPC code or identifier, is received from a customer or user including a signal or an indication (or a fee) to play a game (or to participate in a sweepstakes game). An outcome of the game, associated with the (promotional) product identification code, is determined. And if the outcome is a winning outcome, then the product is provided to the customer at no charge (the winning prize is the promotional product bought by the consumer) or if the outcome is a losing outcome, then the fee (paid for the entry) or a portion of it is applied to the or used to reduce the price of the uniquely purchased product itself. Here, the steps of selecting by a user a product having an identification code (providing a product to the consumer...), receiving an input from the consumer indicating his intention to participate in a game, related to the product identification code, for a prize (enabling the consumer to input the identification code into a prize redemption system and validating the identification code....) and determining whether the identication code is a winning code (determining the game outcome) are implicitly or explicitly supported in the current reference (See abstract; figs. 10 and 11). In short, Walker discloses a system, wherein a selection of a (promotional) product, having an identification code or identifier, received in step S810 may be considered a request to play a game in step S820 of fig. 8 and the system is configured to determine whether a "win" outcome 730 of fig. 7 is associated with the received product identifier, as represented in a retrieved outcome information (col. 20: 42-45).

Second, contrary to the Applicant's conclusion, a UPC code or identifier associated with a product uniquely identifies the product from a particular manufacturer. For example, a typical UPC code, in the form of a bar code and human readable code or number, has at least two fields

Art Unit: 3622

Page 4

A and B comprising five digits each. The first field A represents the manufacturer of a product

and the second field uniquely identifies the product related to the manufacturer. This aspect is

very well documented in the art.

Third, there are no specific remarks per se with respect to the Lieberman's Patent.

Therefore, the Applicant's request for allowance or withdrawal of the last Office Action

has been fully considered and respectfully denied in view of the foregoing response since the

Applicant's arguments as herein presented are not plausible and thus, the current Office Action

has been made Final.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Status

Claims 1-24 are currently pending in the Instant Application.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive so as to help one having ordinary skill in the

art understand the nature of the subject matter. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of

the invention to which the claims are directed.

Art Unit: 3622

Claim Objections

The claims are objected to because of the following informalities-

Throughout the claimed invention (in at least claims 1, 8 and 18), "... product the label of which..." should apparently be --... a product, the label of which...-.

Concerning claim 8, line 8, "consumer is entitled to..." should apparently be --consumer to...-.

Concerning claim 24, line 2, "the determining step determines..." should apparently be -the determining means determines...--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to a non-statutory subject matter. In fact, the claim recites, line 1, "a computer code executable on a server...", which is not directed to a method, a system, an apparatus or a computer-readable medium and so on. In other words, the claim is not related to a well-defined statutory class. The claim is directed rather to a computer program, which is not statutory. The claim should incorporate the following language-

Art Unit: 3622

A computer readable-medium having stored thereon computer executable codes, which when executed on a processor perform the steps of:

enabling the consumer to input the ...

validating the identification code....

determining whether the identification code...

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Walker, US Patent 6, 443, 843B1.

As per claims 1-24, Walker discloses a method of and a system for providing a product to a user or consumer during an online (Internet) or offline transaction, wherein a selection of a (promotional) product, having a specific identification code or UPC code or identifier, is received from a customer or user including a signal or an indication (or a fee) to play a game (or to participate in a sweepstakes game). An outcome of the game, associated with the (promotional) product identification code, is determined. And if the outcome is a winning

Art Unit: 3622

outcome, then the product is provided to the customer at no charge (the winning prize is the **promotional** product bought by the consumer) or if the outcome is a losing outcome, then the fee (paid for the entry) or a portion of it is applied to the or used to reduce the price of the **uniquely** purchased product itself. Here, the steps of selecting by a user a product having an identification code (providing a product to the consumer...), receiving an input from the consumer indicating his intention to participate in a game, related to the product identification code, for a prize (enabling the consumer to input the identification code into a prize redemption system and validating the identification code...) and determining whether the identication code is a winning code (determining the game outcome) are implicitly or explicitly supported in the current reference (See abstract, figs. 10 and 11).

Walker discloses, in general, that the customer receives the identified product for free if the outcome of the game is a winning outcome or the customer is credited the entire received fee, associated with the game entry, if the outcome is a losing outcome (or the entry fee or a portion of it may be applied or used to reduce the sales price of the identified product). This increases the attractiveness of the system to customers because a participating customer wills either win the product (as a prize if the outcome of the game, related to the identified code, is a winning outcome) or be no worse off than if the game was not played in the first time. Accordingly, a retailer may be willing to practice this embodiment in return for increased customer traffic and customer loyalty (col. 2: 10-62).

See col. 3: 41 to col. 4: 32

Art Unit: 3622

Initially, in step S810 of fig. 8, a selection of a product is received from a customer. For example, in an embodiment in which the customer is physically present in a retail store, the selection is received when a customer uses a customer device, such as an in-store kiosk, a PDA or other hand-held device (including, but not limited to, a cellular telephone) in communication with the retailer controller 100 of fig. 2 via the World Wide Web or another type of network, to locate and request information regarding a (promotional) product. A selection may also be received once the customer uses a customer device to indicate an intent to purchase a product by adding the product to a virtual "shopping cart", or once a desired product is identified by a POS terminal during customer checkout procedures, in fig. 11.

In an <u>online</u> (Internet) embodiment of step S810, a customer's initial request to access a home page of a <u>website</u> operated by a retailer or an indication that a web page describing the product has been viewed for a particular period of time may each be considered a selection of a product. Of course, selection of a picture or a description of a product, having an identification code, displayed on a web page of the <u>website</u>, addition of a product into a virtual shopping cart, or an indication of a desire to purchase a product may each be considered a received selection of a product in step S810 of fig. 8 and wherein, with the selection of an identified product, the customer can participate in a game (slot machine type-game) for a chance to obtain or acquire the identified product for free if the outcome of the game is a winning outcome.

The product selected in step S810 may be selected from among all products offered by a retailer or from among a particular set of products identified by the retailer. **Determination of**the particular set of products may be based on a purchasing history of the customer, on

Art Unit: 3622

purchasing histories of all customers, on inventory, or on other revenue management information.

Additionally, a request to play a game, as mentioned above, for the selected product is received in step S820 of fig. 8. The request may be received from the customer device 200, such as a PDA (or other hand held device including a cell telephone) or a dedicated kiosk providing game functionality accordingly. A selection of a product, having an identification code, received in step S810 may be considered a request to play a game in step S820. In some embodiments, only those customers meeting an eligibility standard, such as a minimum customer rating 550, are eligible to play a game for products according to the invention. Therefore, step S820 may also include determination of whether the customer from whom the request was received meets the eligibility standard. The customers may also be part of a loyalty program and use identification card such as a shopper's card to participate in the promotional game (the customers may be new customers as well).

Further, the game, which will be played for the selected and identified product itself is defined. In one embodiment, the type of game is initially determined based on a selection by a customer of one of several games, or determined from among the several games based on other criteria. These criteria may include a number of winning outcomes and a probability of each winning outcome reflected by each of the several games. The type of game may also be predetermined. In some embodiments, the type of game determined in step S830 is a game for which a probability of a winning outcome is controllable or known, such as a slot machine game or a lottery-type game (virtual hat).

Art Unit: 3622

Finally, in one embodiment of step S830 of fig. 8, the selected or chosen game results in a winning outcome if the retailer controller 100 of fig. 2 randomly selects a single white ball from a bin of black balls (random selection or random number generator). The bin may be a real bin or an electronic representation of a bin.

See col. 12: 65 to col. 14: 30; col. 14: 62-66; figs. 10 and 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 5-7, 8, 10-12, 13, 15-17, 18, 20 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lieberman, US Patent 5, 585, 369A.

As per claims 1, 3, 5-7, 8, 10-12, 13, 15-17, 18, 20 and 22-24, Lieberman discloses a method of and a system for enabling a user to enter data including a promotional product code on an entry form to thereby participate in a prize drawing game of chance. To this end, entry forms are provided, which depict and advertise the product, which the game promotes, and which include spaces for the entrant or user to write self-identifying information. The entry forms also include a laser-scannable bar code, which uniquely identifies the product being promoted. The inclusion of this bar code facilitates automated sorting of entry forms and makes practical the

Art Unit: 3622

processing of a plurality of games, each promoting a different product, simultaneously within a single, centralized processing facility. The entry forms also include spaces in which the entrant is required to write the universal product-code number (UPC) or identification code, which uniquely identifies the product being promoted by the game. Since this number is not disclosed on the printed entry form, used by the entrant to participate, and since the most convenient source of the number is the label of the product that is being promoted, then the handwritten-UPC-number requirement (the UPC code must be entered or written down on the entry form by the user) gives consumers an incentive to seek out, view and handle the product which is the subject of the promotion.

According to the present system, written entry forms may be made available to potential participants or entrants in one or more of numerous different, alternative ways, including, for example, the following: (1) As clippable coupons distributed in newspapers, mailers, flyers, or the like; (2) as tearable coupons mounted on or in a conventional poster, card <u>dispenser</u>, coupon <u>dispenser</u> (kiosk), or the like; (3) as part of an automated teller machine (ATM) receipt and so on and so forth.

See abstract; col. 3: 15 to col. 5: 10; col. 5: 49 to col. 6: 12.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3622

Page 12

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the Examiner should be directed to

Jean D. Janvier, whose telephone number is (571) 272-6719. The aforementioned can normally

be reached Monday-Thursday from 10:00AM to 6:00 PM EST. If attempts to reach the Examiner

by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. Eric W. Stamber, can be reached

at (571) 272-6724.

Non-Official - 571-273-6719.

Official Draft (effective on 7/15/05).

07/07/05

Jean D. Janvier

JDJ

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3622